

Feedback of overall course May 2018 (M.Sc. Code BC5A)

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Sem - II
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	3.46
2	Extent of coverage of course	3.46
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.18
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2.87
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	3.0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3.46
7	Extent of effort required by students	3.18
8	Overall rating	3.62
	Average	3.27

Action Taken Report

Feedback about the M.Sc. Course Code BC5A was collected from the students and analysed. The overall rating for the curriculum is 3.62/4.00 with the average being 3.27. The analysis reflects suitability of the course to more than 80% in relation to course content, coverage, source material and overall rating, although it is lesser for manual skills. Hence to improve practical skills advanced facilities and guidance through alumni associated with other institutes will be arranged.

R. Gadre
12/7/2018

Professor & Head
School of Biochemistry
Devi Ahilya University
Khandwa Road, Indore (M.P.)

Feedback of overall course (Ph. D. Course Work Code BC9Z)

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Ph.D.
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	3.66
2	Extent of coverage of course	3.66
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.66
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	3.66
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	3.66
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3.66
7	Extent of effort required by students	3.66
8	Overall rating	3.66
	Average	3.66

Action Taken Report

Feedback about the Ph.D. course work Course Code BC9Z was collected from the students and analysed. The overall and average rating for the curriculum is 3.66/4.00. The analysis reflects suitability of the course to about 91%, so the same course design will be followed.

R. Yadav
12/7/2018

Professor & Head
School of Biochemistry
Devi Ahilya University
Khandwa Road, Indore (M.P.)



SCHOOL OF BIOCHEMISTRY

DEVI AHILYA VISHWAVIDYALAYA, INDORE

Takshashila Campus, Khandwa Road, Indore 452017 (M.P.) India

Phone : 0731-2460276

Email: rekhagadre29@gmail.com

Parents' Feed back Analysis and Action Taken Report (1.4.2)

- Feed back was collected from parents of M.Sc. students and analysed.
- The parents are aware of the Programme Curriculum, Teaching Methodology and Assessment and Evaluation.
- Suggestion for providing transportation facility to students has been given by few parents. However no such facility can be provided by the School.
- The suggestion about motivational talk, self development and cocurricular activities was noticed and such activities will be planned in coming session.

Professor & Head
School of Biochemistry
Devi Ahilya University
Khandwa Road, Indore (M.P.)

School of Biochemistry, DAVV, Indore
M.Sc. Biochemistry, Session: January – May 2018
Name of Faculty member: Dr. Rekha Gadre
Designation: Professor & Head

Section A: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Sem-II
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability by teacher.	4.5
2	Motivation provided	3.87
3	Teachers Communication Skill	3.68
4	Teachers Regularity and Punctuality	4.93
5	Teachers Subject Knowledge	4.93
6	Completion and Coverage of course	4.43
7	Complements theory with practical example	4.25
8	Teacher interaction and guidance outside of the class	3.87
9	Teachers computer / IT skills, if applicable.	2.37
10	Teachers overall performance	4.81
	Average	4.164

Section B: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Sem-II
1	Result of test declared within 2 weeks of it being conducted.	3.125
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases given.	1.875
3	Would you recommend him/her to teach the same subject to your juniors ?	5.0
4	Would you recommend him/her to teach you any other subject ?	3.43
5	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate ?	3.43
	Average	3.372

Questionnaire No.1 ; Feedback of course taught by the faculty
Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Sem - II
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	3.43
2	Extent of coverage of course	3.87
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2.81
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	3.43
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	3.87
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	2.31
7	Extent of effort required by students	2.87
8	Overall rating	3.62
	Average	3.27

Name of Faculty member: Dr. S. M. Gokhale
 Designation: Reader

Section A: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Sem-II
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability by teacher.	4.62
2	Motivation provided	4.75
3	Teachers Communication Skill	4.43
4	Teachers Regularity and Punctuality	4.50
5	Teachers Subject Knowledge	4.62
6	Completion and Coverage of course	4.06
7	Complements theory with practical example	4.56
8	Teacher interaction and guidance outside of the class	4.43
9	Teachers computer / IT skills, if applicable.	2.5
10	Teachers overall performance	4.56
	Average	4.303

Section B: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Sem-II
1	Result of test declared within 2 weeks of it being conducted.	0.625
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases given.	2.5
3	Would you recommend him/her to teach the same subject to your juniors ?	4.06
4	Would you recommend him/her to teach you any other subject ?	3.43
5	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate ?	3.125
	Average	2.748

Questionnaire No.1

Feedback of course taught by the faculty

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Sem - II
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	3.93
2	Extent of coverage of course	3.43
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.37
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	3.75
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	3.37
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3.06
7	Extent of effort required by students	3.00
8	Overall rating	3.62
	Average	3.066

Name of Faculty member: Dr. M. Jain
 Designation: Reader

Section A: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Sem-II
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability by teacher.	4.43
2	Motivation provided	3.875
3	Teachers Communication Skill	4.18
4	Teachers Regularity and Punctuality	4.125
5	Teachers Subject Knowledge	4.937
6	Completion and Coverage of course	3.875
7	Complements theory with practical example	4.312
8	Teacher interaction and guidance outside of the class	4.437
9	Teachers computer / IT skills, if applicable.	2.375
10	Teachers overall performance	4.437
	Average	4.098

Section B: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Sem-II
1	Result of test declared within 2 weeks of it being conducted.	2.18
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases given.	3.12
3	Would you recommend him/her to teach the same subject to your juniors ?	3.75
4	Would you recommend him/her to teach you any other subject ?	3.75
5	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate ?	2.81
	Average	3.122

Questionnaire No.1

Feedback of course taught by the faculty

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Sem - II
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	3.37
2	Extent of coverage of course	3.25
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.18
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	3.62
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	3.68
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	2.56
7	Extent of effort required by students	2.93
8	Overall rating	3.5
	Average	3.261

Name of Faculty member: Dr. Deepak Bhatnagar
 Designation: Visiting Faculty

Section A: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Sem-II
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability by teacher.	4.75
2	Motivation provided	4.625
3	Teachers Communication Skill	4.5
4	Teachers Regularity and Punctuality	4.62
5	Teachers Subject Knowledge	4.87
6	Completion and Coverage of course	4.81
7	Complements theory with practical example	3.56
8	Teacher interaction and guidance outside of the class	4.31
9	Teachers computer / IT skills, if applicable.	2.18
10	Teachers overall performance	4.5
	Average	4.272

Section B: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Sem-II
1	Result of test declared within 2 weeks of it being conducted.	4.68
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases given.	1.56
3	Would you recommend him/her to teach the same subject to your juniors ?	4.37
4	Would you recommend him/her to teach you any other subject ?	4.06
5	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate ?	3.43
	Average	3.62

Questionnaire No.1

Feedback of course taught by the faculty

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Sem - II
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	3.56
2	Extent of coverage of course	3.81
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.56
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	3.81
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	3.75
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3.31
7	Extent of effort required by students	3.06
8	Overall rating	3.43
	Average	3.53

Questionnaire No.1

Feedback of overall course

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Sem - II
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	3.46
2	Extent of coverage of course	3.46
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.18
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2.87
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	3.0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3.46
7	Extent of effort required by students	3.18
8	Overall rating	3.62
	Average	3.27

Feedback report employees / non-teaching / technical

S. No.	Point	Sem-II
1	Name of school	School of Biochemistry
2	Period of report	January - May 2018
3	Did you find the technical help from staff a. Satisfactory b. Average c. Not satisfactory	50.00 % (8 / 16) 43.75 % (7 / 16) 6.25 % (1 / 16)
4	Did you find the official help from technical staff a. Satisfactory b. Average c. Not satisfactory	43.75 % (7 / 16) 50.00 % (8 / 16) 6.25 % (1 / 16)
5	What are your suggestions for areas of improvement in office help	Staff personnel must be increased.
6	What are your suggestions for areas of improvement in technical help	Need of computer laboratory and laboratory staff.
7	Name of technical or office staff helped you most	Mrs. Aarti Verma

School of Biochemistry, DAVV, Indore

Ph.D. Coursework in Biochemistry, Session: January – May 2018

Name of Faculty member: Dr. Rekha Gadre

Designation: Professor & Head

Section A: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Ph.D.
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability by teacher.	5.00
2	Motivation provided	4.00
3	Teachers Communication Skill	4.66
4	Teachers Regularity and Punctuality	5.00
5	Teachers Subject Knowledge	5.00
6	Completion and Coverage of course	5.00
7	Complements theory with practical example	1.66
8	Teacher interaction and guidance outside of the class	4.66
9	Teachers computer / IT skills, if applicable.	1.33
10	Teachers overall performance	4.66
	Average	4.09

Section B: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Ph.D.
1	Result of test declared within 2 weeks of it being conducted.	5.00
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases given.	1.66
3	Would you recommend him/her to teach the same subject to your juniors ?	5.00
4	Would you recommend him/her to teach you any other subject ?	5.00
5	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate ?	3.30
	Average	3.99

Questionnaire No.1

Feedback of course taught by the faculty

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Ph.D.
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	4.00
2	Extent of coverage of course	4.00
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	3.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	4.00
7	Extent of effort required by students	4.00
8	Overall rating	4.00
	Average	3.87

Name of Faculty member: Dr. S. M. Gokhale
 Designation: Reader

Section A: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Ph.D.
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability by teacher.	4.66
2	Motivation provided	5.00
3	Teachers Communication Skill	4.33
4	Teachers Regularity and Punctuality	4.66
5	Teachers Subject Knowledge	5.00
6	Completion and Coverage of course	4.33
7	Complements theory with practical example	4.66
8	Teacher interaction and guidance outside of the class	4.66
9	Teachers computer / IT skills, if applicable.	1.33
10	Teachers overall performance	4.66
	Average	4.33

Section B: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Ph.D.
1	Result of test declared within 2 weeks of it being conducted.	5.00
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases given.	3.30
3	Would you recommend him/her to teach the same subject to your juniors ?	5.00
4	Would you recommend him/her to teach you any other subject ?	3.30
5	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate ?	5.00
	Average	4.32

Questionnaire No.1

Feedback of course taught by the faculty

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Ph.D.
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	3.66
2	Extent of coverage of course	3.33
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	4.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3.66
7	Extent of effort required by students	4.00
8	Overall rating	4.00
	Average	3.83

Name of Faculty member: Dr. M. Jain

Designation: Reader

Section A: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Ph.D.
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability by teacher.	5.00
2	Motivation provided	5.00
3	Teachers Communication Skill	5.00
4	Teachers Regularity and Punctuality	5.00
5	Teachers Subject Knowledge	4.60
6	Completion and Coverage of course	4.60
7	Complements theory with practical example	4.60
8	Teacher interaction and guidance outside of the class	5.00
9	Teachers computer / IT skills, if applicable.	3.30
10	Teachers overall performance	4.60
	Average	4.67

Section B: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Ph.D.
1	Result of test declared within 2 weeks of it being conducted.	5.00
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases given.	3.30
3	Would you recommend him/her to teach the same subject to your juniors ?	5.00
4	Would you recommend him/her to teach you any other subject ?	3.30
5	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate ?	3.30
	Average	3.98

Questionnaire No.1

Feedback of course taught by the faculty

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Ph.D.
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	4.00
2	Extent of coverage of course	4.00
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	4.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	4.00
7	Extent of effort required by students	4.00
8	Overall rating	4.00
	Average	4.00

Name of Faculty member: Dr. Sunita Khurana
 Designation: Visiting Faculty

Section A: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Ph.D.
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability by teacher.	5.00
2	Motivation provided	4.60
3	Teachers Communication Skill	4.60
4	Teachers Regularity and Punctuality	5.00
5	Teachers Subject Knowledge	5.00
6	Completion and Coverage of course	5.00
7	Complements theory with practical example	5.00
8	Teacher interaction and guidance outside of the class	4.30
9	Teachers computer / IT skills, if applicable.	5.00
10	Teachers overall performance	5.00
	Average	4.85

Section B: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Ph.D.
1	Result of test declared within 2 weeks of it being conducted.	5.00
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases given.	3.30
3	Would you recommend him/her to teach the same subject to your juniors ?	5.00
4	Would you recommend him/her to teach you any other subject ?	3.30
5	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate ?	5.00
	Average	4.32

Questionnaire No.1

Feedback of course taught by the faculty

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Ph.D.
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	4.00
2	Extent of coverage of course	4.00
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	4.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	4.00
7	Extent of effort required by students	4.00
8	Overall rating	4.00
	Average	4.00

Name of Faculty member: Dr. Nilesh Porwal
 Designation: Visiting Faculty

Section A: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Ph.D.
1	Ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability by teacher.	4.00
2	Motivation provided	4.33
3	Teachers Communication Skill	3.00
4	Teachers Regularity and Punctuality	2.66
5	Teachers Subject Knowledge	2.66
6	Completion and Coverage of course	4.33
7	Complements theory with practical example	4.33
8	Teacher interaction and guidance outside of the class	4.66
9	Teachers computer / IT skills, if applicable.	4.00
10	Teachers overall performance	4.00
	Average	3.79

Section B: Max score 5

Q.	Points	Ph.D.
1	Result of test declared within 2 weeks of it being conducted.	5.00
2	Adequate number of assignments / cases given.	5.00
3	Would you recommend him/her to teach the same subject to your juniors ?	0.00
4	Would you recommend him/her to teach you any other subject ?	0.00
5	In your opinion is this syllabus adequate ?	1.6
	Average	2.32

Questionnaire No.1

Feedback of course taught by the faculty

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Ph.D.
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	2.66
2	Extent of coverage of course	3.33
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.33
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	2.50
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3.00
7	Extent of effort required by students	3.00
8	Overall rating	3.00
	Average	2.85

Questionnaire No.1

Feedback of overall course

Max score 4

S. No.	Parameters	Ph.D.
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	3.66
2	Extent of coverage of course	3.66
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3.66
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	3.66
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading materia	3.66
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3.66
7	Extent of effort required by students	3.66
8	Overall rating	3.66
	Average	3.66

Feedback report employees / non-teaching / technical

S. No.	Point	Ph.D. coursework
1	Name of school	School of Biochemistry
2	Period of report	January - May 2018
3	Did you find the technical help from staff a. Satisfactory b. Average c. Not satisfactory	66.66 % (2 / 3) 33.33 % (1 / 3) 0 % (0 / 3)
4	Did you find the official help from technical staff a. Satisfactory b. Average c. Not satisfactory	33.33 % (1 / 3) 66.66 % (2 / 3) 0 % (0 / 3)
5	What are your suggestions for areas of improvement in office help	Nil
6	What are your suggestions for areas of improvement in technical help	Nil
7	Name of technical or office staff helped you most	Nil